Options Appraisal – Summary of SWOT Analysis

риспотиррише	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	Option 5	Option 6
Methodology	Commingled	Commingled	Dual Stream	Dual Stream	Dual Stream	Dual Stream
Container	Single Bin	Single Bin	Two Bins	Two Bins	Bin and Bag	Bin and Bag
Vehicle	Single body	Single body	Single body	Single body	Split body	Split body
Frequency	Fortnightly	Fortnightly	Alternate Four Weekly	Alternate Four Weekly	Fortnightly	Fortnightly
Disposal Responsibility	District	County Council	District	County Council	District	County Council
Strengths	Simple methodology	Simple methodology	Higher material quality as	Higher material quality as	Higher material quality as	Higher material quality as
- Charles	Popular with residents	Popular with residents	card/paper separate	paper/card separate	card/paper separate	card/paper separate
	High collection	High collection	More income	More income	More income	More income
	productivity	productivity	High collection	High collection	 Lower gate fees 	 No gate fees
	No extra crews required	 No extra crew required 	productivity	productivity	Recycling credit and	Bags cheaper and extra
	Lower vehicle costs	Lower vehicle costs	 No extra crews required 	 No extra crews required 	income from materials	recycling capacity
	 Recycling credit and 	 No gate fees 	 Lower gate fees 	 No gate fees 	 Bags cheaper and extra 	 Less storage issues
	income from materials	 No additional containers 	 Recycling credit and 	 Lower vehicle costs 	recycling capacity	 Service refresh to boost
	 No additional containers 	 Less manual handling 	income from materials	 Service refresh to boost 	 Less storage issues 	recycling
	Communication campaign	implications	Lower vehicle costs	recycling	Service refresh to boost	Less rejections
	not required	Bin only- less litter and	Service refresh to boost	Less rejections	recycling	Monitoring and contact issues dealt by County
	Less manual handling implications	keeps materials dry	recycling	Less manual handling implications	 Less rejections 	issues dealt by County
	implicationsBin only- less litter and	 Monitoring and contact issues dealt by County 	Less rejectionsLess manual handling	implicationsBin only- less litter and		
	keeps materials dry	issues dealt by County	implications	keeps materials dry		
Pa	Reeps materials dry		Bin only- less litter and	Monitoring and contact		
Ö			keeps materials dry	issues dealt by County		
akness	Very high gate fees	No income from Recycling	Cost of second bin	No income from Recycling	Lower collection	No income from Recycling
	Lower material quality and	Credit and materials.	Storage of second bin	Credit and materials	productivity	Credit and materials
_	less income	 Lower material quality 	Public dissatisfaction with	Cost of second bin	Extra crews required	Extra crews required
	Cost of rejected loads	 No additional capacity 	change.	Storage of second bin	Higher vehicle costs	Lower collection
0	Time and expense of	No service refresh	 No additional capacity as 	Public dissatisfaction with	 Public dissatisfaction with 	productivity
	monitoring contract		four week gap.	change.	change.	Higher vehicle costs
	 No additional capacity 		Time and expense of	 No additional capacity as 	 Cost of bag/short life 	Public dissatisfaction with
	No service refresh		monitoring contract.	four week gap	Litter issues with bag	change.
			Communication campaign	Communication campaign	Manual handling issues	Cost of bag/short life
			required.	required.	Time and expense of	Litter issues with bag
					monitoring contract.	Manual handling issues
					 Communication campaign required. 	Communication campaign required.
Opportunities	EPR may incentivise	County should take on	EPR incentive for better	EPR incentive for better	EPR incentive for better	EPR incentive for better
	retaining disposal.	responsibility for rejected	quality as no commingling	quality as no commingling	quality as no commingling	quality as no commingling
	and the second	loads	EPR may incentivise	County should take on	EPR may incentivise	County should take on
			retaining disposal.	responsibility for rejected	retaining disposal.	responsibility for rejected
			J .	loads.	<u> </u>	loads.
Threats	Increased distance to	 Increased distance to 	 Increased distance to 	 Increased distance to 	Increased distance to	Increased distance to
	tipping locations.	tipping locations.	tipping locations.	tipping locations.	tipping locations.	tipping locations.
	Market volatility affecting	Increase in rejections	Market volatility affecting	Deposit return scheme	Market volatility affecting	Deposit return scheme
	income	Deposit return scheme	income	EPR payments may be	income	EPR payments may be
	Increase in rejections	EPR payments may be	Deposit return scheme	lower for transferring	Deposit return scheme	lower for transferring
	Deposit return scheme	lower for commingling and	Contractual disputes	disposal responsibility	Contractual disputes	disposal responsibility
	Contractual disputes FDD normants may be	transferring disposal responsibility.	National Policy may limit and between collections	 National Policy may limit gap between collections 	Risk of rejection if paper/eard gets wet in beg	Risk of rejection if paper/card gets wet in bag
	EPR payments may be lower for commingling.	responsibility.	gap between collections to two weeks.	to two weeks.	paper/card gets wet in bag	paper/card gets wet in pag
	lower for commingling	<u> </u>	I .	I .		

EPR – Extended Producer Responsibility which is a proposal included with the Draft National Waste Strategy

This page is intentionally left blank